Question: In reading through the IGC DRAFT PWS I came across some potential errors on Table 2.5-2, Page 58, Line 1869. There are several entries that look redundant (e.g., it says you are using both Business Objects and COGNOS; says both Sun and HP UX). Should the information contained in these redundant tables be merged, or, better still, should there be a paragraph describing the differences of these duplicative entries?

Answer: Yes, we have HP UX and Solaris; that is not a mistake. IDE uses HP and GTN P3I has Sun. Where it talks about BI; we use both COGNOS and BOBJ. We have functionality that we are migrating to our system from other systems and they require those separate products (they perform differently). We have updated Updated Table 2.5-2 attached to make it clearer.

CATEGORY	PRODUCTS
APP SERVER	BEA WEBLOGIC
APPLICATION CONNECTIVITY	WEBMETHODS
ARCHITECTURE	ARIS BUSINESS DESIGNER
BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE	BUSINESS OBJECTS, COGNOS
BUSINESS PROCESS MANAGEMENT	WEBMETHODS
CHANGE MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL	RATIONAL CLEARQUEST
COMMON SERVICES	J2EE DEVELOPED APPLICATIONS
DATA DISCOVERY PORTAL	WEBMETHODS
DATA MODELS	CA ERWIN
DATA PROFILING	DATA FLUX
DATABASE	ORACLE TERADATA
ENTERPRISE SERVICES BUS	WEBMETHODS
ETL	INFORMATICA
LDAP	SUN JAVA SYSTEM DIRECTORY SERVER
MESSAGING	BEA AQUALOGIC
METADATA	METAMATRIX
METADATA CATALOG	INFORMATICA METADATA MANAGER
OPERATING SYSTEMS	SOLARIS 10 MP-RAS WINDOWS 2000 (TERADATA AWS ONLY) HPUX, WIN NT (DEVELOPMENT ONLY)
REQUIREMENTS MANAGEMENT	RATIONAL REQUISITE PRO
SECURITY SERVICES	WEBMETHODS

Category	Products
Software Configuration Management	CA Harvest Change Manager
Test Management	Manual Tester
Testing	Mercury LoadRunner
Trouble Ticketing	BMC Remedy
UDDI/Web Services Mgt.	webMethods (Infravio)
User Provisioning	GCSS Provided Service